J.C. Brown Judging, Scoring & Feedback Info

Judging Information

The J.C. Brown nominations are reviewed by a panel of three judges, consisting of one retired CEO or senior communicator from the electric cooperative industry, and two judges from outside the industry who possess senior-level experience.

For each award cycle, all three judges review the nomination materials for each candidate. The work of the judges is confidential, and they do not collaborate. Judges submit their evaluations to the Award Program Manager. The winner is determined by having the highest average score across all three judges.

Scoring

Judges consider the completeness of the entry forms and whether entrants followed all instructions. The effectiveness in achieving the stated goal will be heavily weighted in the scoring. While the judges will review all supplemental material submitted, it will not be scored. Evaluations will be based on absolute scores rather than a comparison of entries. The entrant with the highest average score across all three independent judges will be deemed the winner.

Judges' Evaluation Form

There are four, equally weighted criteria. The scoring chart used by the judges is below.

POINTS & CRITERIA BREAKDOWN							
Category	Maximum Points	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor	
Strategic Communications Approach	25	25 - 21	20 - 16	15 - 11	10 - 6	5 - 1	
Internal Leadership Approach	25	25 - 21	20 - 16	15 - 11	10 - 6	5 - 1	
Cooperative Difference	25	25 - 21	20 - 16	15 - 11	10 - 6	5 - 1	
Measure of Success	25	25 - 21	20 - 16	15 - 11	10 - 6	5 - 1	



Sample Scoring Sheet for Judges

Criterion 1. Overall Strategic Communications Approach

points

Answers the question, "In what ways does the nominee integrate communication into the organization's strategic planning and management processes? Evidence may include, a well-defined strategic communication plan, active CEO engagement as well as adequate annual budgets and resources devoted specifically to communication. "

Considerations include:

- a. How the nominee engaged with staff/board/consumer-members.
- b. How the nominee fosters communications innovation.
- c. How communications are included in the co-op's strategic planning process and in other leadership meetings where important co-op issues, practices and challenges are discussed.
- d. How often the strategic communication plan updated and reviewed for its effectiveness?

Criterion 2. Internal Communications Approach

__ points

Answers the question, "In what ways does the nominee ensure co-op employees are informed, engaged, and empowered with the information they need to be effective communicators?"

Considerations include:

- e. Does the nominee view all employees of the organization as part of the communication team? For example, are staff members in all areas of the organization—particularly those who have contact with consumer-members—kept informed about the co-op's priorities and kept up to date on important organizational developments and on changes to major co-op products, services, and programs?
- f. Is there evidence of an effective employee communication program at the co-op, as well as two-way communication within the co-op, i.e., top-down, and bottom-up?
- g. Are there examples or indicators demonstrating how information on critical projects and management decisions is shared in timely and open fashion in executing the cooperative's communication plan.
- h. Other examples include a dedication to hiring professional communication staff people, as well as providing adequate educational and training opportunities for staff.

Criterion 3. The Cooperative Difference

point

Answers the question, "In what ways does the nominee's commitment to communication, both internal and external, help advance the seven cooperative principles, philosophy, and way of doing business?"

Additional consideration includes:

a. Any type of leadership action, communication activity or product that promotes the cooperative principles to staff, consumer-members, or other audiences.

Criterion 4. Measures of Success



points

Answers the question, "How successful have the cooperative's communication/marketing programs and efforts been during the nominee's tenure, and on which he or she has had an influence?"
Note: This criterion is not intended to be an evaluation or showcase of the cooperative's communication products and services as defined in the larger Spotlight on Excellence awards program.
FEEDBACK FORM
Overall Summary for this entry, including all four criteria (to be completed after finishing evaluation of the four criteria on the following four pages)
Summary of Entry
Total Points:
Strengths of entry: 1.
2.
Areas for improvement for entry:
1. 2.



Submission Tips

Your nominee may be a great communications champion, but unless you provide solid proof points, your score sheet won't reflect what you see in your co-op's leader.

Successful entries should:

- 1. Closely match your responses to each of the four questions and prompts.
- 2. Provide proof points and details such as examples, evidence, and data to support your contention.
- 3. Include optional supporting material to provide additional context and dimension for your contentions.
- 4. Recognize that every question is important. Past winners have scored in the highest part of the "excellent" range across all four categories. See score chart.

Don't get discouraged if your submission doesn't win this time. Every cycle is different. For most award winners, it took more than one cycle before they won the top honor.



Your nominee may be a great communications champion, but unless you provide solid proof points, your score sheet won't reflect what you see in your co-op's leader.

Successful entries should:

- 1. Closely match your responses to each of the four questions and prompts.
- Provide proof points and details such as examples, evidence, and data to support your contention.
- 3. Include optional supporting material to provide additional context and dimension for your contentions.
- 4. Recognize that every question is important. Past winners have scored in the highest part of the "excellent" range across all four categories. See score chart.

Don't get discouraged if your submission doesn't win this time. Every cycle is different. For most award winners, it took more than one cycle before they won the top honor.

Revised as of 08.28.23